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Nocturnal light pollution and underexposure to daytime sunlight: Complementary mechanisms of circadian disruption and related diseases
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Routine exposure to artificial light at night (ALAN) in work, home, and community settings is linked with increased risk of breast and prostate cancer (BC, PC) in normally sighted women and men, the hypothesized biological rhythm mechanisms being frequent nocturnal melatonin synthesis suppression, circadian time structure (CTS) desynchronization, and sleep/wake cycle disruption with sleep deprivation. ALAN-induced perturbation of the CTS melatonin synchronizer signal is communicated maternally at the very onset of life and after birth via breast or artificial formula feedings. Nighttime use of personal computers, mobile phones, electronic tablets, televisions, and the like – now epidemic in adolescents and adults and highly prevalent in pre-school and school-aged children – is a new source of ALAN. However, ALAN exposure occurs concomitantly with almost complete absence of daytime sunlight, whose blue-violet (446–484 nm) spectrum synchronizes the CTS and whose UV-B (290–315 nm) spectrum stimulates vitamin D synthesis. Under natural conditions and clear skies, day/night and annual cycles of UV-B irradiation drive corresponding periodicities in vitamin D synthesis and numerous bioprocesses regulated by active metabolites augment and strengthen the biological time structure. Vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency are widespread in children and adults in developed and developing countries as a consequence of inadequate sunlight exposure. Past epidemiologic studies have focused either on exposure to too little daytime UV-B or too much ALAN, respectively, on vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency or melatonin suppression in relation to risk of cancer and other, e.g., psychiatric, hypertensive, cardiac, and vascular, so-called, diseases of civilization. The observed elevated incidence of medical conditions the two are alleged to influence through many complementary bioprocesses of cells, tissues, and organs led us to examine effects of the totality of the artificial light environment in which humans reside today. Never have chronobiologic or epidemiologic investigations comprehensively researched the potentially deleterious consequences of the combination of suppressed vitamin D plus melatonin synthesis due to life in today’s man-made artificial light environment, which in our opinion is long overdue.
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INTRODUCTION

The physical and chemical environment of modern man differs radically from the one experienced during evolution that determined, through genetic adaptation, fitness for survival. One of the most rapid and radical changes that began at the end of the nineteenth century is substitution of the natural 24 h light (L)/dark (D) cycle for around-the-clock artificial light that differs markedly in spectrum, intensity, and temporal patterning. Canadian and US population-based surveys substantiate people nowadays spend very little time, on average ∼12%, outdoors, with half of this amount spent inside vehicles, and the rest, ∼88%, indoors (Klepeis et al., 2001; Leech et al., 1996; Matz et al., 2014). Studies utilizing personal light sensors, even though involving a relatively small number of subjects, provide additional perspective of the amount of time people spend in natural or artificial light of different intensities. One investigation (Espiritu et al., 1994) conducted in San Diego, California – known for its beautiful and comfortable environmental conditions throughout the year – showed that people spend, on average, 6% of their time outdoors, 18% in vehicles, and 76% indoors.
year – reported the median duration of exposure of middle-aged adults to natural daylight of ≥1000 lux to be only ~58 min/day. Another study (Cole et al., 1995) found the median exposure to light of ≥1000 lux in Rochester, Minnesota (USA) and San Diego, California (USA) to be, respectively, ~2.4 h/day and ~2.2 h/day in summer versus, respectively, ~0.4 h/day and ~1.3 h/day in winter. The situation is similar for residents of Quebec, Canada (Hébert et al., 1998), with the mean daily exposure to light of ≥1000 lux by young adults being 2.6 h in summer but only 0.4 h in winter and >50% of wake time being spent in ≤100 lux during both seasons, and also Great Britain, with the average amount of time spent outdoors during the summer being ~1.4 h/day week days and 2.4 h/day weekends (Diffey, 2011).

Daytime sunlight is necessary for vitamin D synthesis, the active metabolites of which directly or indirectly regulate multiple biological processes beyond calcium and phosphorous homeostasis critical to bone health, and nighttime natural darkness is necessary for normal melatonin synthesis that is essential for biological timekeeping, sleep, and, directly or indirectly, many processes of cells, tissues, and organs. The 24 h L/D cycle of nature conveys crucial temporal cues to the body’s master biological clock – the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) of the hypothalamus – and pineal gland to achieve internal synchronization of the period (τ) and phasing (ϕ) of the circadian time structure (CTS; see Figure 1) to ensure appropriate metabolic and other resources both to support activity during the day and restoration and repair during sleep at night, and all in proper external synchrony with expected periodic alterations and challenges of the ambient environment (Albrecht, 2012; Kanabrocki et al., 1990; Martinez-Nicolas et al., 2014; Reppert & Weaver, 2002; Schibler, 2006; Ticher et al., 1995). The impression gained from careful review of the literature is the health effects of melatonin suppression, on the one hand, and of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency, on the other hand – consequences of life in artificial light – have been researched separately rather than in combination, the emphasis being either vitamin D or melatonin insufficiency/deficiency. The published literature, which is enormous, suggests melatonin and vitamin D regulate in a complementary manner many of the same processes of cells, tissues, organs, and systems, such that deficiencies of either one can result in similar effects on health and well-being. Thus, the intent of this editorial is to call attention to the potential challenges to health and well-being posed by the totality of the 24 h artificial light environment of today across the different stages of life due to both vitamin D and melatonin deficiency. Our emphasis is the combination of too little sunlight exposure during the day and too much artificial light exposure at night (ALAN), the situation so prevalent today almost everywhere throughout the world.

CIRCADIAN TIMEKEEPING, MELATONIN, AND 24 H L/D CYCLE

Circadian timekeeping is governed by a master brain oscillator, the paired nuclei of the SCN, through a cyclic feedback loop of ~24 h duration entailing transcription of clock genes Per1, Per2, Per3, Cry1, Cry2, and RevErβα, following heteromerization of CLOCK and BMAL1, during nighttime darkness in diurnally active mammals, alternating with CLOCK/BMAL1 suppression by nuclear PER and CRY proteins in the early morning. The CTS is organized in a hierarchical manner, with the SCN regulating peripheral oscillators via humoral, endocrine, and neural signals, to sequentially and coherently organize virtually all biological processes in time throughout the 24 h (Albrecht, 2012; Reppert & Weaver, 2002; Schibler, 2006). The τ and ϕ of the CTS are primarily synchronized to the 24 h environment by perception of light onset (dawn) and offset (dusk) by specialized non-visual retinal ganglion cells most sensitive to the blue-violet (446–484 nm λ) spectrum that signal the SCN by the retinohypothalamic (RTH) projection (Arendt, 1992, 2011). The SCN regulates pineal gland melatonin synthesis by neural signals relayed through the paraventricular nucleus to the hindbrain, spinal cord, and finally postganglionic fibers originating from the superior cervical ganglion. Sympathetic input, primarily β1-receptors but also α1-receptors, governs melatonin synthesis (Arendt, 1992, 2011). Melatonin synthesis, which occurs exclusively during the darkness of night, except in the rare Smith–Magenis syndrome when produced during the daytime (De Leersnyder et al., 2001, 2006), takes place through a series of transformative enzymatic steps, commencing with the essential amino acid tryptophan: hydroxylation by tryptophan 5-hydroxylase to 5-hydroxytryptophan (5HTP), decarboxylation of 5HTP by 5HTP-decarboxylase to serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5HT), N-acetylation of serotonin by serotonin-N-acetyl transferase (NAT) to N-acetylserotonin (NAS), and culminating with O-methylation of NAS by hydroxindole-O-methyltransferase (HIOMT) to produce melatonin (Arendt, 1992, 2011). Once synthesized, melatonin is immediately released into systemic circulation, but due to its rapid liver metabolism to 6-hydroxymelatonin by cytochrome P450 enzyme CYP1A2, its half-life is rather short, with most studies reporting it being 20–40 min, although a few as long as 60 min (Arendt, 2011).

The pineal gland and SCN coordinate and order the CTS. The duration and onset and offset times of the melatonin signal chemically translate the duration and onset and offset times of the environmental scotophase (nighttime dark phase) from day to day over the course of the year, thereby communicating not only 24 h but annual time. This is accomplished by direct circulation of melatonin into cells, tissues, and organs and also through its binding to specialized G-protein MT1 and MT2, quinone reductase enzyme MT3, and nuclear
receptors of cells (e.g., epithelial prostate and breast, immune, fat, ovary/granulosa, uterine, cardiac, skin, and neural), organs (e.g., liver, gallbladder, intestine, and kidney), and systems (e.g., gastrointestinal, nervous, and cardiovascular). Melatonin plays a major role in feedback regulation of the circadian patterning of several clock genes, e.g., Per1, Per2, BMAL1, RevErβ1, CLOCK, and Cry1, both in central and peripheral tissues, along with certain non-photic synchronizers, e.g., temporal pattern of nutrient consumptions (Arendt, 2011; Ekmeckioğlu, 2006; Hoogerwerf et al., 2007; Singh & Jadhav, 2014; Tosini et al., 2014). Accordingly, the τ and φ of peripheral cellular circadian clocks, and the many diverse circadian rhythms they drive, of tissues, organs, and systems are synchronized and ordered in time during the 24h and year for optimal biological efficiency. Melatonin exerts many receptor-independent effects (for detailed review, see Pechanova et al., 2014). Overall, they involve reactive oxygen species scavenging and activation and over-expression of various antioxidant enzymes, i.e., protection from oxidative damage, plus elevated efficiency of the mitochondrial electron transport chain. In addition, melatonin serves as an endocrine regulator of nighttime blood pressure in healthy persons by contributing to its normal decline during sleep by 10–20% from its daytime mean level (Portaluppi et al., 1996). Thus, melatonin suppression by ALAN may play a role in the increasing incidence of nocturnal hypertension as substantiated by around-the-clock ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (Portaluppi et al., 1990). Furthermore, normal nighttime melatonin production reduces oxidative stress caused by arterial hypertension (Portaluppi et al., 2004). For these reasons melatonin is under investigation as a putative chronotherapy of hypertension (Hermida et al., 2011, 2013; Portaluppi & Smolensky, 2010). Also, melatonin is protective of the central nervous system; it reduces free-radical burden, improves endothelial dysfunction, reduces inflammation, modulates the response to endotoxemia (Alamili et al., 2013), and shifts the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic drive in favor of the later. Finally, it may interact directly with calmodulin and inhibit Ca2+ channels or calcium pump stimulation, for example, in
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FIGURE 1. Top: Four histograms depict distribution according to clock hour of circadian acrophases (φs) of seven different categories of variables. Upper left histogram: 37 physiologic and 32 cognitive performance variables; Lower left histogram: 18 circulating blood cell and 15 serum enzyme variables; Lower right histogram: 27 serum hormone variables. Each vertical column indicates the proportion of circadian φs per variable category occurring according to clock-hour time. Bottom: Dendrogram depicts by line segment length correlation of the distribution of circadian φs between different pairings of the specified seven different categories of variables: the shorter the line segment length, the poorer the correlation and the greater the dissimilarity of the distribution of φs between designated categories of variables; the longer the line segment length, the stronger the correlation and the greater the similarity of the φs distribution between designated categories of variables. Circadian φs of the physiologic and cognitive categories are most strongly correlated (r = +0.8) (After Ticher et al., 1995; Reinberg et al., 2015).
cardiomyocytes. Altogether, many of the pleiotropic effects of melatonin appear to be similar or complementary to the actions of vitamin D, as later discussed.

**ALAN AND MELATONIN**

As aptly pointed out by Stevens et al. (2014), ALAN is a very recent and significant perturbation of the natural environment, since 1879 with the invention by Thomas Edison of the carbon-filament incandescent electric light bulb. Early humans illuminated the nighttime by small brush/wood fires. Candles began to be used for light ~5000 yrs ago, and in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries gas lamps were a popular means of illuminating public spaces of many European cities, but it was not until the eighteenth century that they were widely used indoors. These relatively dim light sources are rich in yellow and red spectrum wavelengths (λs), but sparse in blue λ, as is the incandescent electric light bulb. The widely used electric lights of today, like the Cool White and Daylight White Fluorescent and energy-saving Compact Fluorescent ones, are richer in blue λ and able to suppress melatonin synthesis when used within 1 h of bedtime (Wahnsafffe et al., 2013). Carefully conducted research over the past two decades demonstrates retinal exposure to as little as ≤1 lux monochromatic blue 440–460 nm λ light, ~100 lux broad spectrum λ fluorescent light, or other conventional sources of ALAN can markedly attenuate nocturnal melatonin synthesis (Brainard et al., 2001; Giménez et al., 2014; Glickman et al., 2002; Montagnese et al., 2015; Obayashi et al., 2014a), phase shift the CTS (Gooley et al., 2011; Lockley et al., 2003; Zeitzer et al., 2000), and elevate alertness and disrupt sleep (Brainard et al., 2001; Cajochen et al., 2000; Lockley et al., 2006). Blocking the blue λ spectrum of light sources prevents such effects (Rahman et al., 2013; van de Werken et al., 2013).

Numerous studies identify a large number of potentially detrimental effects of short-term and life-long ALAN exposure – from traditional industrial, community, and home sources but nowadays increasingly from televisions, personal computers, electric tablets (e-tablets), readers (e-readers), and the like – on sleep, metabolism, reproductive capability, aging, and various medical conditions, including hormone-responsive tumors of adults (Bouchlariotou et al., 2014; Chau et al., 2014; Flynn-Evans et al., 2013; Haim & Portnov, 2013; Klooog et al., 2009, 2010, 2011; Obayashi et al., 2014b,c, 2015; Pukkala et al., 2006; Reiter et al., 2012, 2014a; Rybnikova et al., 2015; Stevens, 2006; Yu & Weaver, 2011; Zhu et al., 2006), and on altered sleep-associated degradation of cognitive performance, neurodevelopmental, certain cancers, and other health conditions of children and adolescents (Arora et al., 2014; Cajochen et al., 2011; Chahal et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2012; Erren, 2005; Falbe et al., 2015; Gamble et al., 2014; Gito et al., 2013; Gradisar et al., 2013; Rossignol & Frye, 2011; Stevens, 2012; Tordjman et al., 2013, 2015). Many epidemiologic investigations report association between ALAN exposure and BC in shift-working women and prostate specific antigen level and PC in shift-working men, and numerous laboratory animal studies report findings consistent with these observations (Haus & Smolensky, 2013; Stevens, 2006; Stevens et al., 2014), with some showing ALAN-induced resistance to first-line tamoxifen and doxorubicin cancer chemotherapies (Dauchy et al., 2014; Xiang et al., 2015), of obvious relevance to the clinical management of BC. This large body of findings relating to shift work, ALAN, and cancer led the expert working group of the World Health Organization’s International Agency for Cancer Research (I.A.R.C., 2010) to conclude the evidence: (i) from laboratory animal experiments is sufficient for the carcinogenicity of ALAN, (ii) in humans is limited for the carcinogenicity of shift schedules that involve night work, and (iii) is probable in humans for shift work that involves circadian disruption as being carcinogenic (Group 2A Carcinogen).

A great amount of research has been conducted to understand the cellular and molecular mechanisms of the observed increased risk of ALAN-associated cancers (Ackermann et al., 2013; deHaro et al., 2014; Haim & Zubidat, 2015; Haus & Smolensky, 2013; Hill et al., 2015; Schwimmer et al., 2014). The hypothesized circadian rhythm mechanisms are: repeated ALAN-induced nocturnal melatonin synthesis suppression, frequent CTS disruption, i.e., phase delays or advances according to the light phase-response curve, and/or altered sleep/wake 24 h cycle with sleep deprivation (deHaro et al., 2014; Haus & Smolensky, 2013; Hill et al., 2015). At this time there are no established threshold criteria that define melatonin insufficiency or deficiency based on risks to health and well-being, like there are for vitamin D (as later discussed) and conventional clinical laboratory variables, particularly with respect to circadian time. Furthermore, although progress is being realized toward achieving consensus regarding proper description of ALAN exposure, such as spectrum, intensity, frequency, and duration – hours/night, nights/week, and years – in home, community, and work settings, including in relation to work arrangements (Stevens et al., 2011), there is as yet no broad agreement on the definition of CTS disruption, or certain other key factors. Population-based research associates antecedent 10-yr environmental ALAN exposure assessed by satellite with BC incidence of women (Rybnikova et al., 2015) and PC of men (Klooog et al., 2009), especially in Western countries. Yet, so far conducted epidemiology studies on the relationship between night work, BC and PC incidence, and ALAN, which are likely to entail melatonin suppression and/or CTS disruption, have neglected likely confounding by ever-prevalent ALAN experienced by both cases and controls that commences at the very beginning of life, i.e., in utero, and continues.
throughout all life’s stages – infancy, childhood, adolescence, and adulthood.

Most human melatonin studies have been conducted on individuals subjected to the man-made light environment of today – little exposure to daytime sunlight and extensive exposure to evening and nighttime relatively bright artificial light, conditions that differ dramatically from ones under which our ancestors evolved and that existed essentially until the electrification of the modern world. Thus, the findings of at least some, or perhaps many, studies pertaining to the features of the human melatonin circadian rhythm and even CTS, may not be entirely representative. Nonetheless, it is becoming increasingly evident that the modern light environment can negatively impact human beings during every stage of life, thereby further raising concerns about their potential risks to health and well-being. Indeed, some propose artificial lighting and extensive industrialization have significantly altered the natural human 24 h wake/sleep pattern and sleep duration. Historical accounts plus thus far limited laboratory studies (Eikirch, 2001, 2005; Fagioli et al., 2001; Wehr, 1992; Wehr et al., 2001) suggest the natural ancestral sleep of humans before the industrial revolution, particularly under short photoperiod, was biphasic – a first sleep commencing shortly after sunset of ~3–4 h duration, followed by a 1–3 h wake span, followed by a second sleep phase lasting until sunrise of ~3–4 h duration – with differences, compared to the sleep mode of today, in both the amount and circadian patterning of melatonin synthesis (Wehr, 1992).

During pregnancy, the maternal melatonin circadian rhythm serves as time-of-day and time-of-year synchronizing cues to the immature SCN and biology of the fetus (Reppert, 1985). Maternal-derived melatonin also protects the fetus against oxidative stressors and exerts positive effects on growth and development (Reiter et al., 2014b; Seron-Ferre et al., 2007; Tamura et al., 2008; Tauman et al., 2002; Thomas et al., 1998; Torres-Farfan et al., 2006; Voiculescu et al., 2014). Until the middle of the past century, labor and parturition typically took place during the darkness of night (Reiter et al., 2014b; Smolensky et al., 1972), and neonates were raised under the natural 24 h L/D cycle. All infants were nourished by breast milk that varies extensively in melatonin concentration in a predictable in-time nyctohemeral pattern that served to synchronize the τ and φ of the neonatal and early childhood CTS in accord with maternal and natural environmental L/D time cues. Over the past six or so generations, particularly in Western countries, pregnant women have been habitually exposed to ALAN inside and outside the home, with a growing proportion of them in many countries also experiencing such through night work sometimes until delivery, potentially disrupting on a daily basis the biological time structure of the developing fetus. Nowadays, in developed countries most births take place in hospitals with term neonates usually housed around-the-clock under relatively bright artificial light until mother and neonate are discharged home. At-risk pre-term newborns are housed in neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) facilities having this same light environment for a much greater duration of time – weeks to months. Several studies suggest these conditions delay organization, or even promote free-running (circadian τ≠24.0 h), of the immature CTS. Neonates whose life after birth is spent in a 24 h cycled L/D hospital nursery setting designed to mimic the natural environment synthesize significantly more melatonin nocturnally and more quickly develop the normal 24 h sleep/wake cycle than those kept under constant bright light (Jalido-Alba et al., 1993; Martin-du-Pan, 1970; McMillen et al., 1991). This is particularly true for pre-term neonates; when the NICU environment is 24 h L/D cycled, rather than continuously lighted, weight gain is significantly faster and greater, requirement for mechanical respiration shorter, and length of NICU residence reduced (Mann et al., 1986; Morag & Ohlsson, 2013; Rivkees et al., 2004; Vásquez-Ruiz et al., 2014).

Today, breast feeding is encouraged, although not always pursued, or done only for a relatively brief time. Instead, the infant is likely to be fed by bottle with a formulated nutrient product devoid of constituents needed to convey synchronizing signals to the still immature CTS. Studies reveal neonates nourished naturally with breast milk around-the-clock or special day/night differentially constituted, with respect to circadian requirements, formula feedings more rapidly develop the mature 24 h sleep/wake cycle than babies bottle-fed with conventionally formulated products (Arslanoglu et al., 2011; Cubero et al., 2005, 2006; Illnerová et al., 1993). However, breast feeding practices vary between mothers. Some elect to naturally nourish their infants on demand at all times during the day and night for many months. Others prefer to collect breast milk by manual ‘pumping’ during the daytime as convenient – but when melatonin and other constituents of nighttime breast milk that convey crucial time cues to help synchronize the τ and φ of the neonate’s developing CTS are absent – for overnight feedings delivered by bottle by another caretaker. Still others rely entirely on artificial formulas for around-the-clock feedings that are devoid of melatonin and other constituents that would ordinarily provide time cues to the neonate. Moreover, the immediate postpartum home light environment of mother and infant pairs may be atypical. One study found 7-day postpartum mothers and infants on average spent, respectively, ~71% and ~80% of the time between 06:00 and 21:59 h in <50 lux dim light and, respectively, only~6% and ~2% in >1000 lux bright light (Tsai et al., 2009). In this regard, disruption of both the sleep/wake and melatonin circadian rhythms for at least 8 wks after hospital discharge was found in 20–30% of mothers of term and 30–40% of pre-term neonates (McMillen et al., 1993). Thus, disruption of the CTS plus absence or
abnormality of the maternal melatonin time cue, both during fetal development and early neonatal life, seem to be common phenomena in today's artificial light society.

Melatonin synthesis and features of its circadian rhythm change with age (Kennaway et al., 1999; Mahlberg et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2002), as illustrated in Figure 2. In healthy term neonates, melatonin synthesis increases rapidly during the first 2 months of life, with circadian patterning typically developed 8–12 wks after parturition (Ardura et al., 2003; Kennaway et al., 1992). In pre-term neonates, in comparison, melatonin circadian rhythmicity is usually delayed by several weeks (Kennaway et al., 1992). The nocturnal and morning concentrations of melatonin and its metabolite, 6-sulfatoxymelatonin (aMT6s), in blood, urine, and saliva increase after birth, being greatest between 1.5 and ~6 yrs of age. Thereafter, such levels decrease by ~35–40% on average in those 6–8 yrs of age and another ~20–25% on average prior to or around puberty – ages 8–13, but with slight increase ~13–15 yrs of age. Nighttime melatonin and aMT6s decline much more slowly during adulthood, some investigators reporting decreases only to ~25–30 yrs of age and others reporting continuous attenuation throughout life, but with appreciable between-individual variation (Kennaway et al., 1999; Mahlberg et al., 2006; Molina Carballo et al., 1996; Zhao et al., 2002).

Several investigations suggest a causal or predictive link between low melatonin level during the initial weeks of life and abnormal neurodevelopment, e.g., delayed psychomotor achievements at 3 and 6 months of age, and some others (Rossignol & Frye, 2011; Tordjman et al., 2015) have posed the question whether ALAN-induced melatonin suppression plays a role in other primarily childhood neurological medical conditions, such as autism spectrum disorder, including Asperger’s syndrome, among other pervasive disorders. Precocious puberty in children as young as 4–6 yrs of age is associated with premature attenuation of nocturnal melatonin synthesis (Waldhauser et al., 1991). Melatonin receptors are found in the hypothalamus, pituitary, and reproductive tissues, and although precocious puberty typically results from pineal tumor and abnormal hypothalamic gonadotrophin mechanisms (Arendt, 2011; Commentz & Helmke, 1995), it is unknown if ALAN-caused suppression of nocturnal melatonin synthesis – temporal patterning and/or total amount – plays a permissive or contributory role in idiopathic precocious puberty (Aleandri et al., 1997; Alikasifoglu et al., 2015; Cavallo, 1993). It is unclear if advancement in age of puberty onset in boys and girls over the past several decades in most countries, often ascribed to nutritional factors or endocrine-disrupting environmental pollutants (Anderson & Must, 2005; Euling et al., 2008; Jaruratanasirikul & Sriplung, 2014; Morris et al., 2011; Song et al., 2014; Talma et al., 2013), to some extent might involve ALAN exposure that commences at the very onset of life.

ALAN now originates in an increasing proportion of countries in more ways than from traditional work, community, and home lighting. Computers, televisions, electronic tablets (e-tablets and e-readers), and mobile telephones, which beginning in early childhood are routinely utilized in close proximity to the eyes before bedtime, constitute prevalent new blue λ-rich sources. According to the Mobile technology Fact Sheet (http://www.pewinternet.org/fact-sheets/mobile-technology-fact-sheet/), as of September 2013, 91% of American adults owned a cell phone and 55% a smart phone – with 44% of owners sleeping close by them to receive calls, text messages, or other updates during the night, 42% a tablet computer, and 32% an e-book reader. Moreover, in 2013, 83.8% of U.S. homes had a computer, with 78.5% a desktop or laptop and 63.6% a handheld one (http://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2014/acs/acs-28.pdf). A small sample survey of 53 persons 23–80 yrs of age reported e-tablet use ≤1 h before bedtime by at least 60% (http://appleinsider.com/articles/11/12/22/apples_ipad_has_84_satisfaction_rating_17_of_users instal_80_apps), and a large US 2009 telephone survey of Americans of different ages reported operation by 90% of a technological light-emitting device ≤1 h of retiring to bed. Before bedtime, 60% of the respondents, regardless of age, reported viewing TV, and 72% of adolescents and 67% of young adults admitted to using cell phones versus 36% of middle-aged and 16% of older adults. Sleep onset of younger respondents was
suggest blue

Peixoto et al., 2009). Finally, recent research findings
phasing but suppressed melatonin synthesis (Burgess &
not only in reduced sleep length and delayed sleep
testimony and in-home studies on children and adults, results
were derived from survey of British 11–13 yr olds (Arora
et al., 2015; Gradisar et al., 2013). A 2014 published
Australian internet survey of >1000 adolescents 11–17 yrs
of age found >70% possessed ≥2 devices in their
bedroom at night, with in-bed operation at least a few
nights/wk of cell phone by 46.8%, computer by 38.5%,
and TV by 23.2%, that resulted in delayed onset, offset,
and shortened duration of sleep – the severity of which
varied according to exposure, i.e., nights/wk of use
(Gamble et al., 2014). Furthermore, a Canadian survey
found 64% of parents of 5th grade school children
acknowledged their child had access to ≥1 electronic
entertainment and communication devices in their
bedroom that was associated with his/her shortened
sleep duration, excess body weight, poor diet quality, and
low physical activity (Chahal et al., 2013). Similar findings
were derived from survey of British 11–13 yr olds (Arora
et al., 2014), Norwegian 16–19 yr olds (Hysing et al., 2015),
and Belgian secondary school students (Van den Bulck,
2004). Before-bedtime use of light-emitting electronic
deVICES, based on findings of both experimental labora-
tory and in-home studies on children and adults, results
not only in reduced sleep length and delayed sleep
phasing but suppressed melatonin synthesis (Burgess &
Molina, 2014; Chang et al., 2015; Higuchi et al., 2014;
Peixoto et al., 2009). Finally, recent research findings
suggest blue λ-rich ALAN delivered in immediate prox-
imity to the eyelids while closed during nighttime sleep,
but not when delivered extraocularly, transcranially, or
transauditory canal (Bromundt et al., 2014; Jurvelin et al.,
2014), is able to suppress melatonin synthesis, disorgan-
ize or phase-shift the CTS, and/or alter the 24 h sleep/
wake pattern (Figueiro & Rea, 2012; Figueiro et al., 2014).

Children are highly sensitive to ALAN, more so than
adults, and perhaps especially prone to its disruptive
effects; well-controlled nighttime illumination studies
show melatonin suppression response is ~2-fold greater
in youngsters than middle-age adults (Higuchi et al.,
2014; Turner & Mainster, 2008). Frequent disruption of
the 24h sleep/wake cycle as a consequence of ALAN
exposure, often with shortened sleep duration, melato-
lin suppression, and CTS desynchronization, is quite
common in evening chronotype children, adolescents,
and young adults (Adan et al., 2012; Wittmann et al.,
2006), but also in neither evening nor morning chron-
otypes when staying up late to study, complete school/
college assignments, and partake in late-night, typically
weekend, social events (Collado Mateo et al., 2012;
Touitou, 2013). Additionally, short-term, and presumably
long-term, late day or evening treatment of infants and
children with β\textsubscript{1}-adrenoreceptor antagonist medications,
e.g., to manage hemangioma (Ng et al., 2015) and
migraine (Bidabadi & Mashouf, 2010), and of adults
with β\textsubscript{1}-adrenoreceptor (e.g., atenolol, bisoprolol, meto-
prol, and propranolol) and α\textsubscript{2}-adrenoreceptor (e.g.,
alfuzosin, doxazosin, and terazosin) antagonists, e.g., to
manage benign prostatic hyperplasia, cardiac arrhythm-
ias, glaucoma, hypertension, ischemic heart disease,
and situational anxiety (Akbar & Alorainy, 2014; Chon
et al., 1999; DiNicolantonio et al., 2015; Zhang et al.,
2015), mimics the melatonin suppression of ALAN
exposure (for review, see Haus & Smolensky, 2013;
Smolensky et al., 2012), with possible risk of CTS
disruption. Melatonin is considered to be a valuable
treatment for dyssomnias of youngsters, especially those
diagnosed with delayed sleep phase syndrome, attention-
deficit hyperactivity disorder, autism spectrum dis-
orders, mood and anxiety syndromes, and certain
neurologic conditions (Appleton et al., 2012; Bruni
et al., 2015; Sánchez-Barceló et al., 2011). However, it is
also used more often than recognized in countries where
it can be obtained without doctor’s prescription to
achieve compliance of healthy infants, children, and
adolescents to the bedtime schedule mandated by
parents. Most likely, parents have little or no knowledge
of its potential disruptive effects – undesired advance or
delay of the 24 h sleep/wake cycle or CTS – that can arise
by inconsistent or ill-timed administrations. In this
regard, it is of interest that a Norwegian study reports
the recent doubling of melatonin use as a hypnotic to
induce sleep of children, particularly boys 6–12 yrs of age
(Hartz et al., 2012).

Considerable current debate focuses on the extent to
which the increased risk of BC of shift-working women
and PC of shift-working men, who frequently experience
occupational ALAN, entails circadian rhythm mechan-
isms (Figures 3–5): (i) melatonin synthesis suppression
(Borges et al., 2007; Dumont & Paquet, 2014; Grundy
et al., 2009; Kennaway, 2014; Schernhammer
et al., 2004), particularly for postmenopausal BC (Brown
et al., 2015; Schernhammer & Hankinson, 2009;
Schernhammer et al., 2008), (ii) CTS disruption (Carter
et al., 2014; Kubo et al., 2006; Schernhammer et al., 2001),
(iii) activity/sleep 24 h cycle perturbation with sleep
deprivation (Grundy et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2008), or/and
(iv) some or all of these. Limited studies of blind women
with no light perception (NLP), i.e., absence of SCN and
pineal gland RHT projection signaling thereby preclud-
ing ALAN-induction of melatonin suppression, reveal BC
incidence is much lower than it is in less visually
impaired and normally sighted women (Feychting
et al., 1998; Flynn-Evans et al., 2009; Hahn, 1991;
Kliukiene et al., 2001). Many of these cited studies further
indicate decreasing incidence of BC in women in close
association with the extent of visual impairment, with
one study revealing a comparable, although less consistent,
trend for PC in men (Pukkala et al., 2006). Such
findings are consistent with the view that BC and PC risk
results primarily from ALAN-caused melatonin
hormone-responsive tumors in normally sighted individuals. It is important to recall many studies report disruptive effects of ALAN are already prominent early in life – during fetal development, formative years of childhood and adolescence, and thereafter during adulthood, including during the schooling and training of those who later assume day and nightshift employment. Dayshift female and male employees with such antecedent light history are likely to continue to habitually experience ALAN from home and community sources, although presumably not to the same extent as those who regularly experience it during night work. This raises the question whether dayshift workers constitute appropriate controls for case-control investigations designed to explore the differential incidence of BC in female and of PC in male rotating and nightshift employees.

**DAYTIME SUNLIGHT, VITAMIN D, HEALTH, AND WELL-BEING**

The topic of vitamin D synthesis, deficiency, and sufficiency has been the subject of a vast number of publications, including several extensive reviews in part relied upon in this section (Hilger et al., 2014; Hosseini-nejad & Holick, 2013; Obaidi et al., 2014; Wacker & Holick, 2013a,b). The term “vitamin D” is somewhat nonspecific, referring in common usage to either ergocalciferol (vitamin D2), which is normally derived from diet, cholecalciferol (vitamin D3), which is generated by endogenous natural synthesis, and/or 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D3), the form clinically assessed to determine adequacy of vitamin D status. The behavior and actions of the biologically active forms – alphacalcidol (1-hydroxyvitamin D3), doxercalciferol (1-hydroxyvitamin D2), and calcitriol (1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 [1,25(OH)2D3]) – are essentially those of hormones (Mandarino et al., 2015; Wacker & Holick, 2013a). Ordinarily, only ~10% of the total daily vitamin D requirement, as both vitamin D2 and vitamin D3, is derived from the non-supplemented diet through consumption of foods, such as certain vegetables, mushrooms, egg yolks, cheese, fatty fish, fish oils, and beef liver; the major natural source is endogenous synthesis initiated through absorption of sunlight irradiation (UV-B: 290–315 nm λ spectrum) by 7-dehydrocholesterol in living cells of the epidermis. This produces unstable previtamin D3 that is rapidly transformed via thermal isomerization into vitamin D3 and exported into systemic circulation as a protein-bound complex. Vitamin D3 is hydroxylated at carbon 25 to produce 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 [25(OH)D3] in the liver and then hydroxylated at carbon 1 by 1α-hydroxylase (1α-OHase) to yield 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 [1,25(OH)2D3] primarily in mitochondria of the proximal convoluted tubular cells of the kidney but also certain other peripheral tissues. 1,25(OH)2D3 is highly regulated by parathyroid hormone, whose circulation is induced by low levels of serum calcium to enhance renal calcium absorption.
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**FIGURE 3.** Mean (± S.E.) 24 h urinary melatonin metabolite 6-sulfatoxymelatonin (aMT6s) concentration expressed relative to creatinine concentration of dayshift nurses (n = 7), who maintained their diurnal activity/nocturnal sleep routine, studied on work and off days (n = 268 urine samples in total) and nightshift nurses (n = 12), who adopted a daytime sleep/nighttime activity routine when working nightshifts but with napping at work allowed when feasible, studied during duty nights (n = 224 urine samples in total) and also off nights (n = 275 urine samples). Void-by-void urines were self-collected around the clock by day nurses who worked 6 h shifts 6 day/wk and also by night nurses who worked 12 h nightshifts every other “day”. Night nurses exhibit a significantly lower mean aMT6s (i.e., melatonin suppression) on both work and off periods every other “day”. Night nurses exhibit a significantly lower mean aMT6s (i.e., melatonin suppression) on both work and off days compared to dayshift nurses on work and also off days (ANOVA; p < 0.0001); mean 24 h urinary 6-sulfatoxymelatonin/ng creatinine concentration of nightshift nurses, both on and off work nights, is ~40% less than that of dayshift only nurses, both on work days and off days. [Figure constructed using previously unpublished data collected by Borges et al. (2007, 2008)].
FIGURE 4. Circadian timing (acrophase, φ) of each of six urinary variables (volume = urine volume; potassium = urinary potassium concentration; sodium = urinary sodium concentration; HMMA = 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy mandelic acid; 17-OHCS = 17-hydroxycorticosteroids; 5-HIAA = 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid: urinary metabolite of serotonin) determined from voidings collected from (n = 5) male shift workers upon awakening, at regular intervals during daytime waking, and before retiring to sleep on work and off days throughout a continuous 50-day span while adhering to an employee-selected (and industry approved) backward-in-direction rapid 3 to 4-day rotation shift schedule (dayshift: 07:00–16:00 h; nightshift: 21:00–06:00 h; morning shift: 06:00–13:00 h; evening shift: 13:00–21:00 h). Each graph shows the group circadian acrophase (φ: peak time and also 95% confidence interval when group circadian rhythmicity is substantiated by Cosinor analysis) per study variable for the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd + 4th day of the respective shifts in relation to both the associated sleep/wake pattern of the workers (blackened portion of lower time axis plus gray shaded background of each of graph) and midwork time (bold black vertical line). The φ of each of the variables for the daytime activity/nocturnal sleep routine of off days (OD) and normal day (ND) shifts occurs before or around the middle of the activity span, within the 6 h following waking. The φs of the study variables are most misaligned in timing relative to the sleep/wake schedule and midwork time when the nightshift is worked, which constitutes greatest alteration (~8 h change) in the sleep/wake circadian rhythm relative to the dayshift or off days conditions; this is particularly apparent the 1st nightshift when the work shift is timed during daytime sleep rather than during nighttime work. By the 3rd and 4th day, no matter the work shift, nearly complete or complete adjustment of the φ relative to worker sleep/wake cycle of most if not all the studied circadian variables is achieved (Redrawn from Vieux et al., 1979).

reabsorption, skeletal calcium liberation, and renal 1,25(OH)2D3 synthesis (Mandarino et al., 2015; Wacker & Holick, 2013a). This active form of vitamin D crosses the cell membrane and binds to cytoplasmic vitamin D receptor (VDR). Thereafter, the 1,25(OH)2D3–VDR complex locates to the nucleus where it heterodimerizes with retinoic acid receptor (RXR). The 1,25(OH)2D3–VDR–RXR complex subsequently binds to response elements of vitamin D (VDRE) in the promoter region of target genes to stimulate RNA transcription and protein expression that mediates many diverse actions (Mandarino et al., 2015; Min, 2013; Wacker & Holick, 2013a). Such vitamin D-regulated gene expression occurs in a broad array of cells, including β-pancreatic, epidermal small intestine, hematopoietic, parathyroid gland, placental, renal tubular, vascular endothelial, and smooth muscle ones, plus cardiomyocytes, lymphocytes, myocytes, osteoblasts, and osteoclasts (Mandarino et al., 2015; Wacker & Holick, 2013a). In this manner, vitamin D directly or indirectly controls and regulates a wide array of processes of cells plus endocrine, immune, nervous, and cardiovascular systems (Bouillon et al., 2008; Mandarino et al., 2015; Wacker & Holick, 2013a). This is not only the basis for the diverse beneficial actions of vitamin D, but also the deleterious effects of vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency especially in the presence VDR gene polymorphisms, e.g., autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases, endocrine abnormalities, hypertension, vascular pathologies, and various cancers (Chen et al., 2005; Gandini et al., 2014; Hossein-nezhad & Holick, 2013;
FIGURE 5. Sleep duration (± S.D.) of (n = 304) radio and television and airport transport and freight shift workers according to the clock time when sleep was initiated (starting time of sleep). When sleep must be scheduled during the day due to night work, it is never >6 h in duration on average, and when initiated, in particular, between 13:00 and 19:00 h, it is not >4 h in duration on average (Redrawn from Knauth & Rutenfranz, 1981).

Findings of the 2001–2006 cycle of the US National Health and Nutrition Survey, based on US IOM reference thresholds, revealed ~73% of African Americans, ~42% of Mexican Americans, and 34% of Caucasian Americans to be at risk to vitamin D deficiency and inadequacy (Looker et al., 2011). Another report utilizing ES guidelines estimates ~75% of the adult US population has a vitamin D level that is too low (Binkley et al., 2010). Hypovitaminosis is comparable or even worse in many other countries. A 2012 Guiyang, China survey conducted on healthy adult males reported vitamin D deficiency in ~50% and vitamin D insufficiency in another ~32% (Zhang et al., 2012), findings consistent with a more recent and larger multicenter Chinese survey involving both men and women (Yu et al., 2015). A 2011 northern India survey conducted on men >50 yrs of age determined hypovitaminosis D in that region to be almost universal, with vitamin D deficiency found in ~91% and vitamin D insufficiency in another ~7% (Marwaha et al., 2011a). Finally, a Niigata, Japan survey discovered only ~9% of adults 40–74 yrs of age to be vitamin D sufficient (Nakamura et al., 2015). Even in Australia – often referred to as the skin cancer capital of the world – some 40% of the population is vitamin D deficient, either due to prevalent reliance on UV-B sunscreen for protection against melanoma and other skin cancers and conditions or lack of sunlight exposure (Daly et al., 2012; Working Group of the Australian and New Zealand Bone and Mineral Society et al., 2005).

As earlier discussed, increased incidence of BC is reported in women employed in night and rotating shift work as well as those who reside in community and home environments rich in ALAN. However, risk of BC (Goodwin et al., 2009; Imtiaz & Siddiqui, 2014; John et al., 1999; Obaidi et al., 2014; Ordóñez Mena & Brenner, 2014; Santoro et al., 2015; Shui & Giovannucci, 2014; Wacker & Holick, 2013a,b). Although 1,25(OH)2D3 is the most biologically active form of vitamin D, it is the 25(OH)D blood level that is assessed clinically. Guidelines issued by the World Health Organization (WHO), US Institute of Medicine (IOM), and US Endocrine Society (ES) for interpretation of such values vary somewhat. ES guidelines are based on the evaluation and treatment of at-risk vitamin D-deficient patients with specific diseases, while those of the IOM are based on dietary reference intake of vitamin D in the healthy North American population (Gallagher & Sai, 2010; Pramyothin & Holick, 2012; Wacker & Holick, 2013b). The IOM regards a 25(OH)D level of 16 ng/ml (40 nmol/l) sufficient to meet the requirement of ~50% the population and 20 ng/ml (50 nmol/l) sufficient to meet the requirement of ~97.5% of the population. Thus, IOM guidelines view a 25(OH)D level <12 ng/ml (<30 nmol/l) as deficient and 12–20 ng/ml (30–50 nmol/l) as insufficient. The ES, on the other hand, lists the corresponding thresholds as <20 ng/ml (50 nmol/l) and 21–29 ng/ml (~50–75 nmol/l). The WHO Scientific Group on the Prevention and Management of Osteoporosis (2003) defines vitamin D insufficiency as a serum level of 25(OH)D <20 ng/ml (50 nmol/l).

Vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency are quite common worldwide (Hilger et al., 2014; Holick, 2007; Hossein-nezhad & Holick, 2012; Looker et al., 2011).
insufficiency and deficiency are many and seem to play an important role in various prevalent so-called diseases of civilization beyond bone health and cancer risk, e.g., hypertension – through compromised suppression of renin resulting in over-expression of the renin–angiotensin system – and cardiovascular disease – through disturbed endothelial, vascular smooth muscle, and cardiomyocyte regulation (Mandarino et al., 2015; Min, 2013; Wacker & Holick, 2013a).

Sunlight-induced vitamin D synthesis is affected by several factors, the more important ones being age, latitude of residence, pigmentation of skin, and chemical, physical, and other barriers of UV-B irradiation, e.g., sunscreen creams and sprays, clothing, air pollutants, and glass and plastic material blockade (Wacker & Holick, 2013a). In actuality, only \( \sim 1\% \) of solar UV-B irradiation reaches the earth’s surface, even in summer at noontime, because 100% of the \( \lambda s \) up to \( \sim 290 \text{ nm} \) and \( \sim 99\% \) of those between 291 and 320 nm are absorbed by the ozone layer of the stratosphere. The amount of incident UV-B irradiation that arrives to the earth’s atmosphere exhibits predictable time-of-day variation due to predictable time-of-day change in sun zenith angle, i.e., angle of sunlight with respect to its vertical position when directly overhead. As the angle progressively changes during the day, the distance through which UV-B irradiation traverses the ozone layer differs. This results in temporal patterning in the amount of UV-B irradiation absorbed by the ozone layer and, thus, the amount reaching the skin, wherein a large proportion is absorbed by melanin, proteins, and other constituents of the stratum corneum or back scattered and reflected. Zenith angle is greater after morning sunrise and before evening sunset; accordingly, natural vitamin D synthesis tends to be least in the hours around dawn and dusk and greatest midday and early afternoon (Halloran et al., 1985; Masood et al., 2015; Rejnmark et al., 2002). In our opinion, orderly biological timekeeping during the 24 h and year, particularly synchronization of the human CTS, entails the daytime sunlight-derived blue (446–484 nm) \( \lambda \) spectrum, in particular, that signals the SCN plus the UV-B (290–315 nm \( \lambda \)) spectrum that initiates vitamin D synthesis with subsequent pervasive actions of the active forms on many diverse processes of cells, tissues, and organs. Incident UV-B irradiation and vitamin D also exhibit predictable variation over the year due to change in sun position north and south of the equator (Berry et al., 2013; Cinar et al., 2014; Hoteit et al., 2014; Millen et al., 2010; Porojnicu et al., 2007), thereby modulating aspects of the annual biological time structure. This seems to be especially true for people residing beyond \( \sim 33^\circ \) latitude who are able to synthesize little, if any, vitamin D3 during winter; residents of Boston, MA (USA) at 42° North latitude, even if fully exposed to sunlight, are essentially unable to synthesize vitamin D3 between November and February, and residents of Edmonton, Canada at 52° North latitude, Bergen, Norway at 60° North latitude, and Ushuaia, Argentina at 55° South latitude are unable to generate significant D3 synthesis for \( \sim 6 \) months of the year (Wacker & Holick, 2013a).

**DISCUSSION**

Living organisms, including humanoids, are genetically adapted to the natural environment experienced by their ancestors during evolution. This environment is defined by sunlight during the day alternating with darkness, except for the dim illumination of stars, planets, and moon, during the nighttime. It is no surprise that living organisms developed biological clocks to optimally regulate and coordinate biological processes in the form of the CTS as a means of efficiently predicting and responding to the temporally varying metabolic and other demands of the cyclic ambient environment. The CTS is viewed as being orchestrated by a master brain clock – the paired nuclei of SCN located within the hypothalamus – that coordinates subservient peripheral clocks – with \( \tau \) set to 24 h and phasing appropriately staged to environmental time. The diurnal cycle of blue \( \lambda \) spectrum sunlight, defined by its onset and offset times and therefore daily duration, modulates the clock genes in the SCN plus melatonin synthesis in the pineal gland to internally synchronize the \( \tau \) and \( \phi \) of human circadian and circannual rhythms in external synchrony with expected, based on evolutionary history, corresponding periodic variations and challenges of the natural environment. The diurnal pattern of incident (sensible) UV-B irradiation, also defined by its onset and offset times, and therefore daily duration – all of which also undergo annual modulation – induces corresponding patterning of vitamin D synthesis. It is our opinion the diurnal cycle of sunlight-derived UV-B (290–315 nm \( \lambda \)) onset (dawn) and offset (dusk) plus the dependent nyctohemeral pattern of vitamin D synthesis convey temporal information of high relevance to orderly circadian timekeeping, although the latter has received relatively little study. The thus far limited laboratory research shows vitamin D is able to synchronize BMAL1 and Per2 circadian clock gene expression in adipose-derived stem cells (Gutierrez-Monreal et al., 2014), and several human subject studies (Chang et al., 2011; Hashimoto et al., 1997; Hébert et al., 2002; Mishima et al., 2001; Park & Tokura, 1999; Smith et al., 2004; Takasu et al., 2006) document the quality of the daytime light environment, i.e., recent routine wake-time light-intensity history, affects nocturnal melatonin synthesis plus its vulnerability to suppression and circadian phase alteration by ALAN: usual wake-time exposure of subjects to relatively dim compared to bright illumination is associated with lower nighttime melatonin synthesis plus greater...
melatonin suppression and circadian rhythm phase shift responses to test ALAN illumination.

The environment of today is far different than that experienced during evolution; in most parts of the world artificial light has replaced natural sunlight during the day and ALAN has replaced darkness during the night. Moreover, polluted skies and dense residential housing of cities reduce the amount of daytime UV-B irradiation reaching the earth’s surface thereby further contributing to the risk for vitamin D deficiency (Wacker & Holick, 2013a). A growing number of reports, several cited in this editorial, substantiate linkage between ALAN exposure and excess incidence of hormone-dependent cancers, both in community dwelling and shift-working women and men. The literature further suggests ALAN-induced melatonin suppression, likely in conjunction with CTS disruption, begins very early in life and continues throughout childhood, adolescence, and adulthood, thereby raising the possibility of risk for a broad array of medical conditions and pathology in children and adults, as new diseases of modern civilization. Proposed, but as yet incompletely verified, contributing circadian rhythm mechanisms underlying increased risk of human cancers and other pathologies are: melatonin synthesis suppression, CTS disruption, 24 h activity/sleep cycle perturbation with sleep deprivation, or a combination of some or all of these. Typically, melatonin suppression is defined in terms of changes in blood, saliva, or urinary melatonin or aMT6s levels before and after ALAN exposure, because currently there are no established threshold criteria, e.g., as established for vitamin D status, particularly with respect to circadian time. Vitamin supplementation is recommended for hypovitaminosis. If threshold values existed for defining and diagnosing hypomelatoninosis, would they be the basis for recommending melatonin supplementation?

There is as yet no consensus among researchers as to exactly which single circadian rhythm or set of circadian rhythms is appropriately indicative of the extent of CTS synchronization or desynchronization. Some chronobiologists rely on the dim light melatonin onset (DLO) time relative to the mid-sleep point (Lewy, 2007; Lewy et al., 1999; Pandi-Perumal et al., 2007), while others rely on the peak or trough time primarily of the core body temperature circadian rhythm (Giebel et al., 2008; Goel, 2005; Klein & Wegman, 1979) or several circadian rhythms in combination (Adan et al., 2012; Chaumont et al., 1979; Gronfier et al., 2004; Reinberg et al., 2013; Vieux et al., 1979). Perhaps, assessment of specific clock genes in blood or white cells using convenient around-the-clock self-sampling methods, e.g., new dried blood technology (http://www.spotonsciences.com), may prove to be the best means of determining CTS status. Presently, the decision of which circadian marker rhythm(s) to select is difficult. A recent investigation entailing firefighters found co-existence in the same individual of both weak and strong oscillators, the former being more prone to circadian τ disruption than the latter (Reinberg et al., 2013). Finally, a limited number of studies indicate susceptibility of the τ of circadian rhythms to desynchronize from 24.0 h has a genetic basis, thereby suggesting an explanation for observed differences between individuals in risk to and extent of CTS disruption when subjected to rotating shift work and other perturbing challenges (Ashkenazi et al., 1993; Motohashi et al., 1995; Reinberg et al., 2015). Interestingly, individual differences in biological tolerance to CTS disruption of rotating shift workers were earlier substantiated based on sleep, mood, and other complaints surveyed by questionnaire or interview plus disease and pathology determined by routine annual clinical assessment (Reinberg et al., 2007). CTS disruption in some rotating shift workers was associated with severe intolerance with manifestation of symptoms and complaints (dyschronism) but not in some others who displayed good tolerance and without symptoms or complaints, the latter phenomenon termed allochronism (another way to react but without overt complaints, symptoms, or disability). However, both types of workers were not followed long enough to assess the risk for detrimental long-term medical outcomes of particular concern today, especially cancers.

If CTS disruption, however it be defined, plays a role in the etiology of ALAN-induced cancers and other pathology, exposure thresholds predictive of such detrimental outcomes, e.g., according to frequency (times per week, month, or year), duration (years vs. decades), and extent (ΔΨ ≥ 3 h but <12 h vs. ΔΨ = 1–3 h) of change in the 24 h socioecologic or sleep/wake synchronizer schedule, are at this time unknown. Endogenous thresholds predictive of detrimental outcomes also are unknown. In the case of rotating shift workers, these include extent of CTS disruption, which may differ according to the specific aspects, e.g., direction (delay vs. advance) and rate (slow vs. rapid) of rotation plus start and end times (relative to the diurnal activity in L/nocturnal sleep in D cycle typical for each individual employee according to chronotype) of shift schedule, but also clock gene polymorphisms (Gamble et al., 2011) that over the long-term perhaps confer differential risk, e.g., for BC of female employees (Dai et al., 2011; Rabstein et al., 2014; Reszka et al., 2013; Truong et al., 2014; Zienolddiny et al., 2013). Rapid 2–4-day shift rotations, specifically designed to respect as much as possible the usual human nyctohemeral sleep/wake and social rhythms, tend to be less disruptive of the CTS than slower 5–7-day ones (Chaumont et al., 1979; Vieux et al., 1979). Additionally, susceptibility to CTS disruption shows between-individual differences. A limited number of thus far small-subject field studies suggest workers who possess a strong CTS, expressed as large amplitude temperature, urinary glucocorticoid, and other key circadian rhythms, are more resistant to phase alteration, i.e., exhibit slower rate of φ shift of circadian rhythms, when rotating between day and...
night shifts, than those who possess a weak CTS, expressed as small amplitude circadian rhythms (Reinberg et al., 1979; Vidacek et al., 1993). Thus, if inheritance of a "weak" versus a "strong" CTS favors better tolerance to rotating shift work, does it also pose over the long-term higher risk to its harmful effects, especially when combined with bright ALAN? A hint, perhaps, of the answer to this question is suggested by findings of a small pilot study of day and nightshift nurses (Borges et al., 2007, 2008); nightshift nurses exposed to ALAN who reported less biological tolerance, i.e., disturbed sleep, excessive fatigue, bad mood or other psychological changes, etc., compared to those who reported more biological tolerance, i.e., no or only 1 of the symptoms, as a group evidenced on both work and off nights statistically significantly lower 24 h mean urinary aMT6s concentration (Figure 6). However, it is unknown whether low melatonin level is a predictor or consequence of nightshift intolerance. Overall, even though the impact of frequent CTS disruption on health and well-being is a topic of current concern, there is as yet no consensus based on medical outcomes investigations or other acceptable criteria of those circadian processes that are most indicative of CTS status – circadian desynchronization versus synchronization. Neither is it known if animal models can be used to reliably derive such criteria and thresholds. Frequent CTS disruption in its own right has been demonstrated to decrease longevity in some, but not all, animal studies. The median life span of cardiomyopathic Syrian hamsters when subjected to weekly shift in the environmental L/D cycle, which induces alteration and accommodation of the activity/rest and other circadian rhythms, is shortened by 11% compared to controls maintained on the typical non-varying L/D schedule (Penev et al., 1998). Other laboratory rat studies found shifting every 3 days between 14L/10D and 10D/14L (Li & Xu, 1997) or exposure to constant light (Valdés-Tovar et al., 2015) impaired immune responsivity. On the other hand, other laboratory animal research entailing insects – codling moth and face fly – and rodents maintained on diverse combined L/D and feeding regimens found no effects on longevity (Hayes, 1989; Nelson & Halberg, 1986).

In this editorial, we introduce the novel idea that the diurnal pattern of incident sunlight UV-B irradiation – especially its onset and offset times during the day – may constitute an additional important, but as yet unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimulation of vitamin D synthesis and subsequent actions of active metabolites at all hierarchical levels. Under the natural environmental conditions experienced during evolution by our ancestors, orderly biological timekeeping, particularly synchronization of the CTS, in our opinion, is unappreciated, temporal cue that serves to bolster synchronization of the CTS through stimul...
those conveyed by the active metabolites of vitamin D synthesis that show diurnal and annual patterning. This hypothesis, of course, requires rigorous exploration and validation. Exposure to today’s abnormal artificial light environment devoid of daytime UV-B and other biologically active λs and that is rich in ALAN, causing vitamin D insufficiency plus melatonin suppression often accompanied with CTS disruption, begins at the very commencement of life. Thus, antecedent and continuing day/night artificial light exposure plus routine behaviors, such as ingestion of certain classes of medications like \( \alpha_1 \)- and \( \beta_1 \)-adrenoreceptor antagonist medications that mimic the effects of ALAN on melatonin suppression and possibly induce CTS perturbation, must be taken into consideration to completely understand the mechanism(s) responsible for the increased incidence of hormone-dependent tumor incidence, and perhaps other not yet recognized resultant medical conditions, as well as their prevention. We call on human ecologists, epidemiologists, chronobiologists, and scientists of other disciplines to expend their efforts to assess in a comprehensive manner the effects and potential negative consequences of life today in an environment defined by too little sunlight during the daytime in combination with too much artificial light during the nighttime.
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